

REVIEWER GUIDELINES

Dear reviewer,

The enclosed paper has been submitted for publication.

We would greatly appreciate your opinion regarding its suitability for publication and any additional comments you are willing to make (one signed original and one anonymous copy for transmittal to the author required).

Above all, please state to what extent the work is novel offering new insights into the subject.

Please try to answer the following questions:

- do you think that the contents of the paper is relevant to this journal
- does the author(s) use standard nomenclature and is the SI system of units used consequently
- is the summary clear and precise, does it state the major findings and conclusions of the paper
- are the references cited according to the "Instructions to authors"; are the illustrations accompanied by captions, and are they drawn and lettered adequately
- please mark the novelty of the work (100 % high, 0 % nil)
- please mark quality of technical presentation (100 % high, 0 % nil)
- classify the paper as (1) original scientific paper, (2) preliminary communications, (3) note, (4) review, (5) conference paper, (6) professional paper (check one).

In case the manuscript would be acceptable for publication provided suitable revision is made, suggestion that would assist be specific. It will be extremely helpful to the editor, if in reviewing this manuscript, you can point out where pruning is feasible, and where the desired economy of presentation can be practised.

Thank you for your co-operation.